



**NOTICE OF A REGULAR SESSION  
OF THE VINEYARD CITY COUNCIL MEETING  
January 9, 2019 at 6:00 PM**

---

Public Notice is hereby given that the Vineyard City Council will hold a regular session during the Vineyard City Council meeting on Wednesday, January 9, 2019, at 6:00 pm in the Vineyard City Hall, 125 South Main, Vineyard, Utah. The agenda will consist of the following: (clicking on the blue wording will take you to the documents associated with the agenda item.)

**AGENDA**

**Presiding Mayor Julie Fullmer  
(Mayor Pro tem – Councilmember John Earnest – January - March)**

**1. CALL TO ORDER  
INVOCATION/INSPIRATIONAL THOUGHT/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

- 2. OPEN SESSION – Citizens’ Comments** *(15 minutes)*  
“Open Session” is defined as time set aside for citizens to express their views for items not on the agenda. Each speaker is limited to three minutes. Because of the need for proper public notice, immediate action **cannot** be taken in the Council Meeting. If action is necessary, the item will be listed on a future agenda, however, the Council may elect to discuss the item if it is an immediate matter of concern.

**3. MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS’ REPORTS/DISCLOSURES/RECUSALS**

- 4. STAFF AND COMMISSION REPORTS** *(3 minutes each)*
- City Manager/Finance Director – Jacob McHargue
  - Public Works Director/Engineer – Don Overson
  - City Attorney – David Church
  - Utah County Sheriff’s Office – Sergeant Holden Rockwell
  - Community Development Director – Morgan Brim & Planning Commission Chair – Cristy Welsh
  - City Recorder – Pamela Spencer
  - Building Official – George Reid
  - Water/Parks Manager Sullivan Love - Timpanogos Special Service District – Board Member

**5. DISCUSSION ITEMS**  
No items were submitted.

- 6. CONSENT ITEMS**  
**6.1** [Approval of the December 12, 2018 City Council Meeting Minutes](#)

**7. MAYOR’S APPOINTMENTS**

Utah Valley Clean Air Task Force..... 1 vacancy

**8. BUSINESS ITEMS**

**8.1 PUBLIC HEARING – Amend the 2018-2019 FY Budget (Resolution 2019-01)**

City Manager/Finance Director Jacob McHargue will present recommended amendments to the fiscal year 2018-2019 budget. The mayor and City Council will act to approve (or deny) this request by resolution.

**8.2 PUBLIC HEARING – Amend the Consolidated Fee Schedule (Resolution 2019-02)**

Assistant City Engineer Chris Wilson will present recommended amendments to the Consolidated Fee Schedule. The mayor and City Council will act to approve (or deny) this request by resolution.

**9. CLOSED SESSION**

The Mayor and City Council pursuant to Utah Code 52-4-205 may vote to go into a closed session for the purpose of:

- (a) discussion of the character, professional competence, or physical or mental health of an individual
- (b) strategy sessions to discuss collective bargaining
- (c) strategy sessions to discuss pending or reasonably imminent litigation
- (d) strategy sessions to discuss the purchase, exchange, or lease of real property
- (e) strategy sessions to discuss the sale of real property

**10. ADJOURNMENT**

This meeting may be held electronically to allow a councilmember to participate by teleconference.

The next regularly scheduled meeting is January 23, 2019.

The Public is invited to participate in all City Council meetings. In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals needing special accommodations during this meeting should notify the City Recorder at least 24 hours prior to the meeting by calling (801) 226-1929.

I the undersigned duly appointed Recorder for Vineyard, hereby certify that the foregoing notice and agenda was emailed to the Salt Lake Tribune, posted at the Vineyard City Hall, the Vineyard City Offices, the Vineyard website, the Utah Public Notice website, and delivered electronically to city staff and to each member of the Governing Body.

**AGENDA NOTICING COMPLETED ON:** January 8, 2019

**CERTIFIED (NOTICED) BY:** /s/ Pamela Spencer  
PAMELA SPENCER CITY RECORDER

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

**MINUTES OF THE  
VINEYARD CITY COUNCIL MEETING**  
125 South Main Street, Vineyard, Utah  
December 12, 2018 at 6:00 PM

---

**Present**

Mayor Julie Fullmer  
Councilmember John Earnest  
Councilmember Tyce Flake  
Councilmember Chris Judd  
Councilmember Nate Riley

**Absent**

**Staff Present:** City Manager/Finance Director Jacob McHargue, Public Works Director/City Engineer Don Overson, City Attorney David Church, Sergeant Holden Rockwell with the Utah County Sheriff's Office, Community Development Director Morgan Brim, City Planner Elizabeth Hart, City Recorder Pamela Spencer, Water/Parks Manager Sullivan Love

**Others Speaking:** Mark Rupnow and Mark Wiltse with US Steel, Steve Hutchins and Eric Towner with X Development, Stewart Park with Anderson Geneva

Mayor Fullmer opened the meeting at 6:00 PM. Councilmember Judd led the Pledge of Allegiance and gave the invocation.

**6:00 PM WORK SESSION**

**2.1 US STEEL CAMU PRESENTATION**

Representatives from US Steel will provide the City Council and Vineyard residents information about the construction plans for the CAMU (Containment Area Management Unit).

Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to Mark Rupnow with US Steel.

Mr. Rupnow stated that he was there to present an update about the work going on at the Geneva Steel site. He gave a brief history of the site. He said that they were still attached to the site because of permit issued by the Utah Department of Environmental Quality (UDEQ) in 1989, called the post-closure care and facility-wide corrective action permit. He stated that they were co-permittees with Anderson Geneva. He mentioned that they had already done an extensive amount of cleanup on the site from 800 North going south. He explained that the portion of the site that was left for them to clean up was east of the railroad track from 800 North to 1600 North. He said that the best course of action would be to build a corrective action management unit (CAMU). He explained that they had been working with UDEQ for several years to get the permit to move forward. He said that there was still a lot of material that needed to be moved from the site where the CAMU would be located. He explained that once the CAMU was built they would remove the soil and waste, stabilize the material, place it in the CAMU, cap and close it, and grade the land to create a hill. He said that they would be required to monitor the CAMU post closure.

53 Other highlights of the presentation were:

- 54 • Overall Work Area (Eastside) - Approximately 400 acres
- 55 • Site Preparation - Approximately 1.2 million cubic yards
- 56 • CAMU Embankment - Approximately 550,000 cubic yards
- 57 • CAMU Area - 33 acres
- 58 • CAMU Height - 46 Feet
- 59 • CAMU Storage Capacity - Approximately 800,000 cubic yards
- 60 • Liner Materials - Approximately 4 Million square feet
- 61 • Final Cover / Cap Materials - Approximately 100,000 cubic yards
- 62 • Interim Grading - Approximately 300,000 cub yards

63

64 Mr. Rupnow showed, on a map of Vineyard, where the CAMU would be located. He further  
65 explained what would be cleaned up on the 400 acres. He presented two images, one of how the  
66 site looked present day and the other of how the site was projected to look with the CAMU. He  
67 gave a rundown of their schedule:

- 68 • January 2018 to present – Mobilization with trailers and equipment
- 69 • January 2019 to September 2019 – Site preparation and preliminary construction
- 70 • June 2019 – Design approval
- 71 • July 2019 – November 2019 – CAMU construction
- 72 • October 2019 – August 2020 – waste removal, stabilization, and placement into the  
73 CAMU
- 74 • September 2020 to November 2020 – CAMU closure and interim grading

75

76 Councilmember Judd asked who was cleaning up the site. Mr. Rupnow replied it would be their  
77 contractor prepping the site. Councilmember Judd asked about the liner they would be using.  
78 Mr. Rupnow replied that it was a composite of different plastics and clay materials, which should  
79 last for decades, if not centuries. He explained that they had to prove to UDEQ that the materials  
80 would be compatible with the waste materials.

81

82 Councilmember Earnest asked about safety concerns if the hill were to be used by residents. He  
83 asked if it would be accessible to residents, etc. Mr. Rupnow replied that it did not have to be  
84 fenced off, even though there were a lot of other sites that were. He explained that it would be  
85 capped and not unsafe.

86

87 Steven Hutchins with X Development asked for a review of where the CAMU would be located.  
88 Mr. Rupnow reviewed the location of the cleanup areas and the CAMU.

89

90 Councilmember Riley asked about additional cleanup on the UVU site on the east end of that  
91 property. Mr. Rupnow replied that the initial cleanup had been done on the UVU property and it  
92 was not part of this remediation project.

93

94 Eric Towner with X Development asked if the concrete on the site would impact their cleanup.  
95 Mr. Rupnow replied that they would only remove concrete if it was in the way of the cleanup. He  
96 mentioned that they would utilize the current concrete crushing operation on the site.

97

98 Councilmember Judd asked who would be the main point of contact. Mr. Rupnow replied that he  
99 would be the main point of contact along with the project manager Mark Wiltse.

100

101 Mr. Church reminded the council that this was the part of the project that the RDA had agreed to  
102 participate in financially. He said that there would be a payment made this week towards the  
103 project. He explained that US Steel was cleaning up environmental hazards as identified by

104 UDEQ. Mr. Rupnow added that clean up meant that they had satisfied the regulations from  
105 UDEQ and the site was safe for humans and from environmental exposures.

106

## 107 **2.2 SHORT-TERM RENTAL REGULATIONS**

108 The City Council and staff will discuss possible short-term rental regulations. No actions will  
109 be taken at this time.

110

111 Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to Community Development Director Morgan Brim.

112

113 Mr. Brim gave a brief overview of a discussion held in a previous meeting. He said that he was  
114 looking for direction from the council on how to proceed.

115

116 Councilmember Judd asked about the time allowed for people to apply for a nonconforming use  
117 and how many people had applied for it. Mr. Brim replied that no one had contacted him. Mayor  
118 Fullmer thought that as part of the original discussion they agreed that the short-term rental  
119 owners should come in and apply. She thought that there was also a discussion that if people  
120 continued to use their short-rental and a complaint came in, then they had to prove they were in  
121 operation from the time they were accepted as a preexisting non-conforming use. Mr. Brim  
122 thought that the city had asked them to come in and provide the documentation of their short-  
123 term rental use. He added that if there was a complaint, they would need to provide  
124 documentation. Councilmember Earnest expressed concern with telling people what they could  
125 do with their homes. Councilmember Judd felt that private property rights were important but  
126 felt that there was a reason for a process for businesses in the home and going through the proper  
127 channels. He thought that the short-term rental owners were to give that documentation at that  
128 time. He said that there should be an understanding of what a residential zone was versus a  
129 commercial/hotel zone. Councilmember Earnest suggested that they work on a process to make  
130 short-term and long-term rentals possible.

131

132 Mr. Church commented that this was a hot issue in most cities in the state and across the country.  
133 He suggested that they consider if it is this was a residential or commercial use. He said that most  
134 of the cities were discussing things such as allowing short-term rentals that were owner occupied  
135 or allowing investors to buy homes for the purpose of only renting them out. He said that the  
136 other issue was allowing the short-term rentals, but have them be licensed, registered, and pay  
137 the transient room tax, to compete with hotels and bed and breakfasts. He said that he did not see  
138 it as a significant legal issue at this time, other than needing to decide at what level they want to  
139 protect the residential character of the neighborhoods.

140

141 Mr. Brim explained that the definition in the current code limited short-term rentals to under 30  
142 days. Mr. Church mentioned that the 30 days came from the state tax code.

143

144 Mr. Brim further explained that in the District Use Table 15.12.050 short-term rentals were a  
145 non-permitted use.

146

147 Benefits of short-term rentals were:

- 148 • Flexibility for people coming in for a short time to visit family and to be near the events  
149 they wished to attend. He added that the demand for short-term rentals was high in The  
150 Lakes at Sleepy Ridge area, because it was close to the golf course for weddings, etc.
- 151 • Increased capacity for tourism. There were currently no hotels in the area and short-term  
152 rentals would allow for getting people into the city.
- 153 • Economic Impacts. Short-term renters purchase gas, go to movies, and eat food.
- 154 • Offset the cost of homeownership

- 155 • Maintenance of the home was better with short-term rentals than with long-term rentals
- 156 • Not as much oversight with long-term versus short-term rentals

157

158 Concerns with short-term rentals:

- 159 • Creates a transient population. Has an impact on your life because you don't know
- 160 your neighbors
- 161 • People will be in vacation mode, which creates complaints because of noise and
- 162 parking
- 163 • Destabilizes neighborhoods
- 164 • Decreases the number of long-term rentals, which increases those costs
- 165 • Missed tax revenues

166

167 Mr. Brim explained that he had looked at the city of Cottonwood Heights which restricts the  
168 single-family home from short-term rentals and leans them towards the townhomes and  
169 condominiums. He said that they had an annual fee instead of a transient tax. They limited it to  
170 no more than four (4) bedrooms and the manager had to be local and available 24 hours.

171

172 Mr. Brim explained that Sandy City had created a newer ordinance. He said that the purpose was  
173 to allow single and multi-family homes to be used as short-term rentals to allow economic relief,  
174 which allowed people to stay in their neighborhoods. He said that they had to be owner-occupied  
175 and the city would perform an annual audit. There was also a business license required. He said  
176 that they could have no more than eight (8) related or four (4) unrelated people, which did not  
177 include the owner, for a limited number of nights. They also had a limited number of permits per  
178 community with a waiting list. He said that it was administered through an administrative use  
179 permit, which was done on a staff level.

180

181 Mr. Brim stated that staff was recommending (Option 1) allowing short-term rentals through  
182 owner-occupied units similar to the Sandy ordinance, and also (Option 2) allow them when  
183 constructed in developments specific to short-term rentals, which would be controlled under one  
184 (1) management company. He also recommended that they look at the transient room tax.

185

186 Mr. McHargue said that the information he received from many of the city managers was the  
187 frustration with the ability to enforce the code. He stated that many of the cities had quit  
188 enforcing violations. Mayor Fullmer stated that they currently had short-term rentals, but had no  
189 way to enforce them.

190

191 Councilmember Riley expressed concern with Option 2 and where they would locate the short-  
192 term-only developments. Mr. Brim replied that they would be townhomes or condominiums and  
193 the code could be written to limit them to the Town Center area. Mr. Church suggested that some  
194 of the current multi-family owners might turn their units into short-term rentals, which was not  
195 legal in the current code. Councilmember Flake stated that he was not okay with Option 2. He  
196 expressed concern with investors coming into the new large development near the lake and  
197 purchasing 20 units. Mayor Fullmer felt that they might do that anyway and regulating them to  
198 the Town Center might give the city a way to enforce the code, if they were to catch the use. Mr.  
199 Brim suggested that they write the code to allow for a condominium-style building located in the  
200 Town Center. It could provide some capacity, if they did not have a hotel. Councilmember Judd  
201 felt if it was located in the correct areas, he would be comfortable with it. He said that the issue  
202 was allowing the current multi-family units to have them because there was not enough parking.  
203 He added that the requirements needed to be strict, including using the parking allowed in the  
204 garages and driveways and that on-street parking would not be allowed. He said he did not want  
205 to create more parking issues. Mr. Church said that short-term rentals were self-limiting because

206 they were marketing against each other. He said that communities feared short-term rentals  
207 because of the concern that all of the homes would be that way. He said that Vineyard would  
208 have a limited demand created by destination locations. Councilmember Judd asked if other  
209 cities had limited the number of units per development. Mr. Church explained that there were  
210 cities that only allowed a certain number of licenses and had area restrictions. He said that if they  
211 allowed only owner-occupied short-term rentals, it would be self-regulating. He felt that the  
212 problem with investors would be people who wanted to compete with the apartments for long-  
213 term rentals. Councilmember Flake stated that he was not opposed to the concept but was  
214 concerned with code enforcement. He suggested that they do it in phases to limit the exposure of  
215 the need for code enforcement. Mr. Brim said that was why they suggested more of the owner-  
216 occupied, to help control it.

217  
218 Mr. Brim suggested that they could bring back a draft for both options. He also suggested that  
219 they could put development standards in the code to make it a use category with regulation for  
220 parking and local management, etc.

221  
222 Mayor Fullmer felt that it might be helpful for councilmembers to email staff with any concerns  
223 they had before the ordinance went to Planning Commission. She commented that short-term  
224 rentals were already happening in Vineyard and were going to increase. She wanted staff to  
225 come up with a plan, send it to Planning Commission and then to City Council for further  
226 discussion and approval.

227  
228 Councilmember Judd felt that they needed look at what the city could do to enforce the code.  
229 Mr. Brim replied that Sandy City had fines in place. Mr. Church mentioned that this was Sandy  
230 City's new ordinance. He said that if they put money into the enforcement, they could do the  
231 enforcing. He said that the majority of the websites that most people rent through were set up to  
232 collect the fees and taxes and they would know more about who was doing the rentals. Mr.  
233 McHargue explained that the city currently collected those taxes.

234  
235 Council agreed to have staff do more research, create a draft ordinance, send it to Planning  
236 Commission, and then bring it back to council.

### 237 238 **2.3 GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT PROCESS UPDATE**

239 City Planner Elizabeth Hart will present updates to the General Plan Amendment Process.

240  
241 Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to City Planner Elizabeth Hart.

242  
243 Ms. Hart explained that the consultants and planning staff had held a General Plan Community  
244 Workshop on September 18. She said that the workshop included a keypad polling presentation  
245 and board exercises to allow participants to prioritize components of the vision and elements of  
246 the General Plan. She mentioned that about 450 people participated either at the workshop or  
247 online. She explained that the responses would be used to help draft the vision and chapters in  
248 the plan. In the next few weeks staff would receive a draft of the chapters from the consultant  
249 firm for a preliminary review and then there would be another workgroup meeting. There would  
250 also be another community workshop in February 2019.

251  
252 Ms. Hart reviewed answers to a few of the questions:

253 2. How old are you?

- 254 • 47% Between the age of 30-39
- 255 • 31 % Between the age of 20-29

256  
257

- 258 3. How long have you lived in Vineyard?  
 259 • 73% Lived in Vineyard for less than 3 years.  
 260  
 261 4. Current 2004 General Plan Vision: “To maintain the integrity and  
 262 quality of rural living in a well-planned, well-organized fashion so as to  
 263 safeguard a peaceful, independent, beautifully kept, livable  
 264 community”. Thinking ahead 10-15 years from now, would this reflect  
 265 your values and vision for the future?  
 266 • 79% Felt that the current General Plan reflected the vision of Vineyard’s Future  
 267  
 268 5. What qualities brought you to Vineyard?  
 269 • 58% Location was the number one quality  
 270 • 54 % Family friendly  
 271 • 48% Safe place to live  
 272 • 36% Small-town feel  
 273

- 274 7. What are the biggest challenges facing the Vineyard community?  
 275 • 62 % Protecting the small-town character  
 276 • 49 % Providing more retail and other businesses  
 277 • 43% Providing adequate utilities/public services  
 278

- 279 8. Which of the following outcomes are most important to you for the future of Vineyard?  
 280 • Better mix of uses throughout the city  
 281 Councilmember Flake asked what percent of people voted with the keypad verses the online  
 282 survey. Ms. Hart replied that there were more online responses.  
 283

- 284 11. Which capital improvements should the city prioritize?  
 285 • 61% Parking  
 286 • 56% Sidewalks/Trails  
 287

- 288 13. Do you think the City should continue to actively pursue local and regional transit option  
 289 improvements?  
 290 • 58% yes  
 291 • 30 % no  
 292 • 12% I don’t know  
 293

294 Councilmember Judd asked how this correlated with preserving the small-town feel because  
 295 increasing the transportation options could hurt that. Councilmember Flake asked if it correlated  
 296 with a lack of knowledge. Mayor Fullmer asked if “small-town” feel was not defined differently.  
 297 Councilmember Judd felt that it was the life cycle of the city. Councilmember Flake felt that  
 298 people did not always understand what the questions were asking so some of the feedback could  
 299 be false.  
 300

- 301 14. What type of housing was most needed in Vineyard?  
 302 • 79 % Large lot single-family (8000 square feet or more)  
 303 • 68% Small single-family lot (8000 square feet or less)  
 304 • 15% Senior living  
 305  
 306  
 307  
 308



- 309 18. In terms of recreation, Vineyard needs...?  
310 • 76% More areas to enjoy along the lake front  
311 • 51% A community recreation center

- 312  
313 23. Finally, which of the General Plan elements do you think requires the greatest focus?  
314 • 39% Land use  
315 • 31% Open space, recreation, and trails  
316 • 25% Economic development

317 Additional Comments:

318 The majority of the comments were based on high density housing, parking, and open space.

320

321 Ms. Hart reviewed the boards where people were able to pick the items they liked best for each  
322 of the chapters in the General Plan. She mentioned that staff had conducted a business workshop  
323 and survey, but had not yet received the results at this time.

324

325 Councilmember Judd requested that staff make this report available to the public.

326

327

328 **7:00 PM REGULAR SESSION**

329

330

331 **OPEN SESSION – Citizens’ Comments**

332 Mayor Fullmer called for public comments. Hearing none, she closed the public session.

333

334

335 **MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS’ REPORTS/DISCLOSURES/RECUSALS**

336 Councilmember Flake reported that at the Utah Lake Commission meeting they discussed  
337 funding for removal of the phragmites. He reviewed the process they would be doing to remove  
338 them. He mentioned that they would be removing the phragmites near Vineyard round the end of  
339 June. He recommended that they should send out a notice to the residents. He also mentioned  
340 that they would be spraying the phragmites around the entire lake. He said that there had been a  
341 presentation at the Lake Commission meeting about the Walkara Way project, which received  
342 positive feedback. He said that both the National Park Service representative and the local  
343 legislative representative were confident it would be funded. Mayor Fullmer asked on what  
344 priority level they had put the Walkara Way project on. Councilmember Flake replied that there  
345 would be a bill in the legislature this year. He reported that funding was in place for the U.S.  
346 Army Corps of Engineers’ study for the lake development and that the state had formed a review  
347 board. He said that the commission had sent out a request for information (RFI) for anyone that  
348 had ideas of how to get rid of algae. He stated that Provo has won a grant to build a state-of-the-  
349 art water treatment plant. Mr. McHargue mentioned that Provo would have to increase the  
350 residents’ sewer costs to cover the remainder of the costs to build the plant. Councilmember  
351 Flake commented that the lake had only had two regular water years in the past 10 years.

352

353 **STAFF AND COMMISSION REPORTS**

354 City Manager/Finance Director – Jacob McHargue – Mr. McHargue reported that staff had met  
355 with the Heritage Commission for the summer event. He explained that this would be a 3-day  
356 combined event with the Summer Celebration. He added that they were currently working on

357 getting sponsors for the event. He reported that he had attended the Utah League of Cities and  
358 Towns Legislative Policy Committee meeting where they talked about affordable housing and  
359 how to meet the needs. They also discussed changing how the state handled sales tax  
360 distribution. He said that the league would be taking a proactive role. Councilmember Judd  
361 asked if Vineyard was providing affordable housing and if they should create a proactive  
362 approach. Mr. Church replied that the state had a definition of affordable housing and felt that  
363 Vineyard was a good example of cities being proactive and doing their best. He said that he was  
364 not sure of how to meet the needs when Vineyard already had smaller lots, smaller yards, and  
365 accessory units, but housing prices kept going up and they still did not meet the moderate-  
366 income housing level for Utah County.

367  
368 Mr. McHargue stated that there were a lot of impacts that made housing unaffordable. He said  
369 that they talked about time it took to get through the city processes, the cost of impact fees, site  
370 improvement costs, bonding costs, etc. He said that the league thought the one requirement  
371 might be that cities have an affordable housing plan and to verify that they were following it.  
372 Mayor Fullmer mentioned that the city had been meeting with people to talk about housing  
373 projects that required deed limitations. She said that it could not be a one-plan-fits-all-cities plan.  
374

375 Mr. Church felt it would be interesting to see if the legislature broadened the base on the sales  
376 tax issues. He explained that the sales tax was 1% and the split was 50% point of sale and 50%  
377 population. Cities wanted to know if that was a fair distribution. Should it be point of sale or  
378 based on population? He also mentioned that the governor had suggested a sales tax on services.  
379 Mr. McHargue mentioned that online sales tax was paid at point of delivery.

380  
381 Mr. McHargue reported that he had attended the North Pointe Solid Waste Special Service  
382 District board meeting where they approved the budget. He said that the director had requested to  
383 meet with the city to extend the current contract.

384  
385 Public Works Director/Engineer – Don Overson – Mr. Overson had no new items to report.

386  
387 City Attorney – David Church – Mr. Church had no new items to report.

388  
389 Utah County Sheriff's Office – Sergeant Holden Rockwell – Sergeant Rockwell had no new  
390 items to report.

391  
392 Community Development Director – Morgan Brim & Planning Commission Chair – Cristy  
393 Welsh – Chair Welsh was excused and Mr. Brim had no new items to report.

394  
395 City Recorder – Pamela Spencer – Ms. Spencer had no new items to report.

396  
397 Building Official – George Reid – Mr. Reid had no new items to report.

398  
399 Water/Parks Manager Sullivan Love – Mr. Love had no new items to report.

400  
401

402 **DISCUSSION ITEMS**

403 No items were submitted.

404  
405  
406 **CONSENT ITEMS**

407 **7.1 Approval of the November 14, 2018 City Council Meeting Minutes**

408  
409 Mayor Fullmer called for motion.

410  
411 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER JUDD MOVED TO APPROVE CONSENT ITEM 7.1.  
412 COUNCILMEMBER EARNEST SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FULLMER,  
413 COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, JUDD, AND RILEY VOTED AYE. MOTION  
414 CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

415  
416 **MAYOR'S APPOINTMENTS**

417 No names were submitted.

418  
419  
420  
421 **BUSINESS ITEMS**

422 **9.1 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – 1750 North Road Dedication Plat**

423 City Planner Elizabeth Hart will present the request for approval of the 1750 North Road  
424 Dedication Plat. The mayor and City Council will take appropriate action.

425  
426 Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to City Planner Elizabeth Hart.

427  
428 Ms. Hart gave a brief explanation of where the road was located. She said that the applicant was  
429 proposing to dedicate about 3 acres of right-of-way. She added that the road had been built to  
430 engineering standards and that staff was recommending approval.

431  
432 Mayor Fullmer called for questions.

433  
434 Councilmember Judd asked if this road dedication would make the entire section, up to the  
435 FedEx building, a public road. Ms. Hart replied that it did not. Mayor Fullmer asked if they had  
436 received any more information about the private crossing. Mr. Overson replied that it was not  
437 public and that was why the rest of road was not public. He added that it was indicated on the  
438 plat.

439  
440 Mayor Farnworth asked if there were any further questions.

441  
442 Stewart Park with Anderson Geneva explained that this was a private crossing that had been  
443 licensed to Anderson Geneva through Union Pacific. He stated that if the full access was granted  
444 to Pioneer Road it would open the area to additional traffic and Union Pacific could revoke their  
445 permit. He felt that this could be a reason for the city to put the spur line removal higher on the  
446 priority list, which would remove the private crossing. Mr. Church asked if Anderson Geneva  
447 would prefer that the private road be blocked from access to the new public road. Mr. Park felt  
448 that it was not their preference, but it would run the risk if they opened up the road. He added  
449 that it was initially going to be a breakaway gate for emergencies. He stated that Anderson  
450 Geneva continued to own the private roads because of the private crossing. He said that if this  
451 road dedication were to be approved it would become accessible to the public. He added that all  
452 of the landowners in the East Lake development had a contract, which allowed them to use that  
453 private crossing. Mayor Fullmer asked if this would be an issue with progress for the city

454 working with Union Pacific. Mr. Church felt that it was not an issue with the progress. He stated  
455 that the people being served by the new public road did not have any right to the private roads,  
456 but they needed access from the other direction. He said that having the roads connected might  
457 be best for public safety and circulation, but the city had no right to access the public road if  
458 Anderson Geneva did not want them to. Mayor Fullmer asked if since Union Pacific had signed  
459 the agreement to remove the rail spur would the public crossing be an issue. Mr. Church replied  
460 that he did not know what the issue would be with Union Pacific, but the concern would be that  
461 the city was not moving fast enough which could be a leverage issue. Mr. Park reiterated that it  
462 was a private crossing. Mayor Fullmer suggested that they add stipulations into the approval to  
463 address this issue. Mr. Park stated that if it became an issue then they might have to put up a gate  
464 at the crossing.

465  
466 Councilmember Judd asked about the agreement between Anderson Geneva and Union Pacific.  
467 Mr. Church replied that this was an existing private access that was there before Anderson  
468 Geneva purchased the land. He said that Union Pacific did not want a level crossing and would  
469 not approve a public road. Mr. Park explained that they had signs in place stating the road was  
470 for private access. Mayor Fullmer asked if they needed to approve the plat with a stipulation that  
471 they make sure the crossing was private. Mr. Church replied that the developer needed to  
472 understand that others would not have access.

473  
474 Councilmember Riley mentioned that traffic at 5:00 PM on Pioneer Road, going east, was a  
475 nightmare. He said that to provide a second access to get to the signal light, they would be  
476 inviting and redirecting a lot of the traffic. Councilmember Judd asked if it was the developer or  
477 Vineyard City wanting it to be a public road. Mr. Overson felt that it was a mutual agreement  
478 because the developer wanted to dedicate the road as part of the development, which Vineyard  
479 also wanted. He said that if they read the note on the plat it stated that the road was private for  
480 public use. Councilmember Judd asked what the long-term plan would be if the rail spur were  
481 removed. He asked if the private road would meet city standards and if they would want to  
482 dedicate it to the city for public use. Mr. Park replied that once the spur line went away the road  
483 would become public, since currently it could not be public because there was no protection  
484 when crossing the railroad.

485  
486 Mayor Fullmer asked if the council needed to add a stipulation. Councilmember Judd suggested  
487 that they put up cement barriers. Mr. Church stated that this would be the landowner's  
488 responsibility. He asked if the city was going to accept the public road from the developer  
489 knowing it may be a dead end.

490  
491 Sergeant Rockwell felt that his response time would be more difficult if they put up a cement  
492 barrier. He suggested that they could close the gate at Pioneer Road and keep the access at 1600  
493 North. There was further discussion about blocking off the road. Councilmember Judd asked  
494 what would happen if development decided to protect their private crossing right and block off  
495 both ends of the road. Mr. Church replied that the new landowners did not have the same rights  
496 as Anderson Geneva.

497  
498 Mr. Church recommended that the council accept the dedication as long as the engineers had  
499 approved it, that it was being dedicated at no cost to the city, would facilitate traffic and response  
500 time, and also allow Anderson Geneva to block it off if it became an issue.

501  
502 Mayor Fullmer called for a motion.

503

504 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER JUDD MOVED TO APPROVE THE 1750 NORTH ROAD  
505 DEDICATION PLAT. COUNCILMEMBER EARNEST SECONDED THE MOTION.  
506 MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, JUDD, AND RILEY  
507 VOTED AYE. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

508  
509 **9.2 PUBLIC HEARING – Amend the Zoning Code and Repeal the Development Code**  
510 **(Ordinance 2018-17)**

511 Community Development Director Morgan Brim will present proposed amendments to the  
512 Zoning Code and the repeal of the Development Code adopted February 1992 as amended.  
513 The mayor and City Council will act to approve (or deny) this request by ordinance.

514  
515 Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to Community Development Director Morgan Brim.

516  
517 Mr. Brim explained that the amendment to ordinance was to replace the Board of Adjustment  
518 with a Land Use Hearing Officer. He explained that the hearing officer would also be the  
519 Administrative Law Judge. He said it provided the ability for the hearing officer to listen to  
520 administrative appeals. Mr. Church further explained that this was not for legislative decisions.  
521 He said that the ordinance tracked the minimum requirements by state law. Mr. Brim stated that  
522 Planning Commission was recommending approval.

523  
524 Mayor Fullmer called for a motion to open the public hearing.

525  
526 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER FLAKE MOVED TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING AT 7:37  
527 PM. COUNCILMEMBER EARNEST SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FULLMER,  
528 COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, JUDD, AND RILEY VOTED AYE. MOTION  
529 CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

530  
531 Mayor Fullmer called for public comments. Hearing none, she called for a motion to close the  
532 public hearing.

533  
534 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER FLAKE MOVED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING AT  
535 7:38 PM. COUNCILMEMBER EARNEST SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FULLMER,  
536 COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, JUDD, AND RILEY VOTED AYE. MOTION  
537 CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

538  
539 Mr. McHargue mentioned that they had been working on a Request for Proposal for an  
540 Administrative Law Judge.

541  
542 Mayor Fullmer called for a motion.

543  
544 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER JUDD MOVED TO APPROVE THE AMENDED ZONING  
545 CODE AND REPEAL THE DEVELOPMENT CODE, ORDINANCE 2018-17.  
546 COUNCILMEMBER FLAKE SECONDED THE MOTION. ROLL CALL WENT AS  
547 FOLLOWS: MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, JUDD, AND  
548 RILEY VOTED AYE. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

549  
550 **9.3 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Request to Amend CUWCD Agreement**

551 City Manager jacob McHargue will present a request to amend the CUWCD agreement. The  
552 mayor and City Council will take appropriate action.

553  
554 Mayor Fullmer turned the time over City Manager/Finance Director Jacob McHargue.

555

556 Mr. McHargue explained the agreement with Central Utah Water Conservancy District  
557 (CUWCD). He said that they were purchasing water in blocks and had an additional 200-acre  
558 foot block scheduled to be purchased this year, which they would not need at this time. He was  
559 recommending approval to send a letter to CUWCD requesting an amendment the contract.  
560

561 Councilmember Judd asked if there would be any restrictions on when they could request more.  
562 Mr. McHargue replied that there were no restrictions. He said that the city had, for the next few  
563 years, up to 8000 feet they could purchase and after that they could purchase water on an as-  
564 needed basis. Mr. Church explained how the water purchase worked with CUWCD. Mr.  
565 McHargue explained the differences between purchasing water from Orem City and CUWCD.  
566

567 Mayor Fullmer called for questions from the council. Hearing none, she called for a motion.  
568

569 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER EARNEST MOVED TO REQUEST TO AMEND THE  
570 CUWCD AGREEMENT. COUNCILMEMBER JUDD SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR  
571 FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, JUDD, AND RILEY VOTED AYE.  
572 THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.  
573

574 **9.4 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Personnel Policy and Procedures Manual (Resolution  
575 2018-17)**

576 City Manager/Finance Director Jacob McHargue will present proposed amendments to the  
577 Vineyard Personnel Policies and Procedures Manual. The mayor and City Council will take  
578 appropriate action.  
579

580 Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to City Manager Jacob McHargue.  
581

582 Mr. McHargue mentioned that he had sent out the revised manual for review prior to tonight's  
583 meeting. He explained that most of the changes were due to new federal and state requirements.  
584 He further explained that other changes were due to the fact that Vineyard was no longer a small  
585 town so the policies and procedures needed to be updated.  
586

587 Mayor Fullmer asked if any of the councilmembers wanted a review of the changes. Hearing no  
588 comments, she called for a motion.  
589

590 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER FLAKE MOVED TO APPROVE THE NEW POLICIES AND  
591 PROCEDURES MANUAL, RESOLUTION 2018-17. COUNCILMEMBER EARNEST  
592 SECONDED THE MOTION. ROLL CALL WENT AS FOLLOWS. MAYOR FULLMER,  
593 COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, JUDD, AND RILEY VOTED AYE. MOTION  
594 CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.  
595

596 **9.5 DISCUSSION AND ACTION – Rank Choice Voting**

597 The mayor and City Council will discuss Rank Choice Voting and the state pilot program.  
598 The mayor and City Council will take appropriate action.  
599

600 Mayor Fullmer turned the time over to City Recorder Pamela Spencer.  
601

602 Ms. Spencer explained how ranked choice voting worked. A discussion ensued about rank  
603 choice voting. Ms. Spencer recommended participating in the pilot program. She mentioned that  
604 council would have until May 1 to reverse their decision. She added that Utah County anticipated  
605 that they would know by March if their new system could run the rank choice voting process.  
606 She felt it would be good to test the program while the city was still small.  
607

608 Mayor Fullmer felt that it was important to have time to talk to people about it and be able to  
609 research what it meant. She thought that they could have the option to opt out while they  
610 researched it and if they did not feel it was going to work, then opt out of the program. There was  
611 a discussion about the timeline and the options.

612  
613 Mayor Fullmer called for a motion.

614  
615 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER EARNEST MOVED TO APPROVE RANK CHOICE VOTING  
616 WITH THE STIPULATION THAT THEY REVISIT IT IN APRIL OF 2019.  
617 COUNCILMEMBER FLAKE SECONDED THE MOTION. ROLL CALL WENT AS  
618 FOLLOWS: MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, JUDD, AND  
619 RILEY VOTED AYE. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

620  
621  
622 **CLOSED SESSION**

623 Mayor Fullmer called for a motion to go into a closed session.

624  
625 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER JUDD MOVED THAT IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING THE  
626 REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY BOARD MEETING THEY GO INTO A CLOSED SESSION  
627 TO HOLD A STRATEGY SESSION TO DISCUSS THE PURCHASE, EXCHANGE, OR  
628 LEASE OF REAL PROPERTY, INCLUDING ANY FORM OF A WATER RIGHT OR  
629 WATER SHARES, AND TO DISCUSS THE CHARACTER, PROFESSIONAL  
630 COMPETENCE, OR PHYSICAL OR MENTAL HEALTH OF AN INDIVIDUAL.  
631 COUNCILMEMBER JOHN SECONDED THE MOTION. ROLL CALL WENT AS  
632 FOLLOWS: MAYOR FULLMER, COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, JUDD, AND  
633 RILEY VOTED AYE. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

634  
635  
636 **ADJOURNMENT**

637 Mayor Fullmer called for a motion to adjourn the meeting.

638 **Motion:** COUNCILMEMBER FLAKE MOVED TO ADJOURN THE MEETING AT 8:02 PM.  
639 COUNCILMEMBER JUDD SECONDED THE MOTION. MAYOR FULLMER,  
640 COUNCILMEMBERS EARNEST, FLAKE, JUDD, AND RILEY VOTED AYE. MOTION  
641 CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

642  
643  
644 The next regularly scheduled meeting is January 9, 2019.

645  
646  
647  
648 MINUTES APPROVED ON: \_\_\_\_\_

649  
650 CERTIFIED CORRECT BY: /s/ Pamela Spencer  
651 PAMELA SPENCER, CITY RECORDER

Mayor Fullmer will appoint resident Josh Gilman to represent Vineyard as a committee member on the Utah Valley Clean Air Task Force, with the advice and consent of the council.



**RESOLUTION NO. 2019-01**

A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE VINEYARD CITY BUDGET FOR THE 2018-2019 FISCAL YEAR.

WHEREAS, the city of Vineyard has previously adopted a budget for the 2018-2019 fiscal year in accordance with the Utah Fiscal Procedures Act for cities; and

WHEREAS, the city needs to now amend that adopted budget; and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED BY THE VINEYARD CITY COUNCIL AS FOLLOWS:

1. The attached exhibit A hereby adopted as the amended 2018-2019 fiscal year budget for the city of Vineyard.
2. This resolution shall take effect upon passing.

Passed and dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2019.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Mayor Julie Fullmer

Attest:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Pamela Spencer, City Recorder

**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**10 General Fund - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

	2016 Actual	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2018 Budget	2019 Actual	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Worksheet Notes
<b>Change In Net Position</b>								
<b>Revenue:</b>								
<b>Taxes</b>								
3110 PROPERTY TAXES	1,124,352	1,511,529	1,881,821	1,800,000	209,518	2,000,000	2,000,000	
3130 SALES TAXES	310,548	572,252	753,751	640,000	403,876	784,400	967,200	
3138 FRANCHISE TAX	281,701	278,766	309,658	311,800	186,073	311,800	311,800	
<b>Total Taxes</b>	<b>1,716,601</b>	<b>2,362,547</b>	<b>2,945,230</b>	<b>2,751,800</b>	<b>799,467</b>	<b>3,096,200</b>	<b>3,279,000</b>	
<b>Licenses and permits</b>								
3210 BUSINESS LICENSES AND PERMITS	10,130	13,701	11,813	12,000	9,693	15,000	15,000	
3221 BUILDING PERMITS	903,601	2,028,116	1,559,100	1,300,000	612,139	1,000,000	1,000,000	
<b>Total Licenses and permits</b>	<b>913,731</b>	<b>2,041,817</b>	<b>1,570,913</b>	<b>1,312,000</b>	<b>621,832</b>	<b>1,015,000</b>	<b>1,015,000</b>	
<b>Intergovernmental revenue</b>								
3356 CLASS "C" ROAD FUND ALLOTMENT	26,251	78,070	157,673	150,000	96,108	175,000	175,000	
3360 GRANTS	0	0	42,904	82,460	41,230	82,400	82,400	
<b>Total Intergovernmental revenue</b>	<b>26,251</b>	<b>78,070</b>	<b>200,577</b>	<b>232,460</b>	<b>137,338</b>	<b>257,400</b>	<b>257,400</b>	
<b>Charges for services</b>								
3410 DEVELOPMENT FEES	361,688	487,812	449,236	450,000	181,057	450,000	450,000	
3510 SANITATION FEES	85,160	132,114	222,029	176,400	121,896	243,000	243,000	
3520 INSPECTION FEES	202,116	214,311	144,943	150,000	2,885	150,000	150,000	
3530 RECREATION FEES	0	0	0	0	15,842	45,600	45,600	
<b>Total Charges for services</b>	<b>648,964</b>	<b>834,237</b>	<b>816,208</b>	<b>776,400</b>	<b>321,680</b>	<b>888,600</b>	<b>888,600</b>	
<b>Fines and forfeitures</b>								
3710 LAW ENFORCEMENT FINES & FEES	17,863	36,358	0	25,000	0	25,000	25,000	
<b>Total Fines and forfeitures</b>	<b>17,863</b>	<b>36,358</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>25,000</b>	<b>25,000</b>	
<b>Interest</b>								
3660 INTEREST EARNINGS	37,545	112,663	135,934	100,000	70,337	100,000	100,000	
<b>Total Interest</b>	<b>37,545</b>	<b>112,663</b>	<b>135,934</b>	<b>100,000</b>	<b>70,337</b>	<b>100,000</b>	<b>100,000</b>	
<b>Miscellaneous revenue</b>								
3620 RENTS AND CONCESSIONS	1,125	975	1,445	4,000	3,345	4,000	4,000	
3640 HISTORY BOOK	210	300	30	0	0	0	0	
3681 DONATIONS FROM PRIVATE SOURCES	3,300	1,049	4,088	1,000	1,850	1,000	1,000	
3690 SUNDRY REVENUES	168	1,328	10,307	0	8,988	0	0	
<b>Total Miscellaneous revenue</b>	<b>4,803</b>	<b>3,652</b>	<b>15,870</b>	<b>5,000</b>	<b>14,183</b>	<b>5,000</b>	<b>5,000</b>	
<b>Contributions and transfers</b>								
3699 EXCESS BEG. FUND APPROPRIATION	0	0	0	2,841,300	0	150,000	150,000	
3826 TRANSFER FROM CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	0	0	1,400,000	0	0	0	0	
<b>Total Contributions and transfers</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,400,000</b>	<b>2,841,300</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>150,000</b>	<b>150,000</b>	
<b>Total Revenue:</b>	<b>3,365,758</b>	<b>5,469,344</b>	<b>7,084,732</b>	<b>8,043,960</b>	<b>1,964,837</b>	<b>5,537,200</b>	<b>5,720,000</b>	
<b>Expenditures:</b>								
<b>General government</b>								
<b>Administrative</b>								
4311 Admin SALARIES AND WAGES	371,373	352,952	422,752	392,700	257,030	466,000	425,000	
4313 Admin EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	82,462	68,934	79,112	101,500	48,669	117,000	115,000	

**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**10 General Fund - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

	2016 Actual	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2018 Budget	2019 Actual	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Worksheet Notes
4321 Admin BOOKS/SUBSCRIPTIONS/MEMBERSHP	6,746	7,004	10,797	9,800	4,474	9,800	9,800	
4322 Admin PUBLIC NOTICES	1,285	2,020	2,887	3,000	722	3,000	3,000	
4323 Admin TRAVEL	6,974	14,680	13,739	20,300	8,200	20,300	20,300	
4324 Admin OFFICE SUPPLIES AND EXPENSE	15,581	38,243	8,056	24,500	13,342	16,500	16,500	
4325 Admin EQUIPMENT-SUPPLIES & MAINT	3,222	45,793	41,164	55,000	23	0	0	
4326 Admin INFORMATION SYSTEMS	35,374	72,476	51,802	50,500	37,765	50,500	58,800	
4327 Admin UTILITIES	33,260	54,751	62,958	75,900	38,230	75,900	75,900	
4328.0 Admin ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS	112,293	32,247	26,983	57,000	19,368	17,300	25,300	
4333 Admin EDUCATION & TRAINING	15,907	7,874	11,136	16,400	3,109	11,300	11,300	
4342 Admin BANK CHARGES	5,468	8,642	13,218	13,800	5,100	13,800	13,800	
4349 Admin ELECTIONS	1,606	62	5,412	6,000	0	0	0	
4351 Admin INSURANCE AND SURETY BONDS	20,628	38,154	45,239	41,500	19,554	39,500	39,500	
4374 Admin Capital Outlay	0	0	2,750,197	2,751,000	0	0	0	
<b>Total Administrative</b>	<b>712,179</b>	<b>743,832</b>	<b>3,545,452</b>	<b>3,618,900</b>	<b>455,586</b>	<b>840,900</b>	<b>814,200</b>	
<b>Non-Departmental</b>								
5031.1 Prof & Tech Services PLANNER	39,610	3,625	24,089	32,500	67,853	57,500	57,500	
5031.2 Prof & Tech Services ENGINEER	288,606	263,084	131,404	125,000	28,579	50,000	50,000	
5031.4 Prof & Tech Services AUDITOR	7,600	7,600	8,000	8,000	0	8,000	8,000	
5032.0 Prof & Tech Services LEGAL	14,160	14,400	13,200	15,000	6,000	15,000	15,000	
5051.0 Prof & Tech Services LIBRARY REIM FEES	6,330	9,556	17,177	19,300	7,414	19,300	19,300	
<b>Total Non-Departmental</b>	<b>356,306</b>	<b>298,265</b>	<b>193,870</b>	<b>199,800</b>	<b>109,846</b>	<b>149,800</b>	<b>149,800</b>	
<b>Buildings and grounds</b>								
5125.0 Buildings & Grounds EQUIPMENT MAINT	22,753	17,650	14,562	25,000	4,992	15,000	15,000	
5126.0 Buildings & Grounds SUPPLIES & MAINT	4,787	7,974	15,614	17,000	20,093	36,000	36,000	
51740 Public Works Capital Outlay	51,055	76,935	42,134	45,000	44,213	45,000	45,000	
<b>Total Buildings and grounds</b>	<b>78,595</b>	<b>102,559</b>	<b>72,310</b>	<b>87,000</b>	<b>69,298</b>	<b>96,000</b>	<b>96,000</b>	
<b>Inspections</b>								
5311 Building SALARIES & WAGES	79,057	441,630	497,449	519,800	284,558	540,800	558,900	
5313 Building EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	0	78,081	108,652	156,800	58,460	162,900	167,800	
5321 Building BOOKS & MEMBERSHIPS	135	4,317	2,205	3,500	294	3,500	3,500	
5323 Building TRAVEL	0	4,190	5,670	7,200	1,483	7,200	7,200	
5324 Building EDUCATION & TRAINING	0	8,066	14,497	14,700	5,162	11,700	11,700	
5325 Building OFFICE SUPPLIES	0	30,394	7,567	22,900	559	10,900	10,900	
5326 Building EQUIPMENT & MAINT	42	1,410	1,245	3,500	1,379	3,500	3,500	
5327 Building CONTRACT LABOR	0	106,979	119,179	120,000	9,507	120,000	120,000	
<b>Total Inspections</b>	<b>79,234</b>	<b>675,067</b>	<b>756,464</b>	<b>848,400</b>	<b>361,402</b>	<b>860,500</b>	<b>883,500</b>	
<b>Total General government</b>	<b>1,226,314</b>	<b>1,819,723</b>	<b>4,568,096</b>	<b>4,754,100</b>	<b>996,132</b>	<b>1,947,200</b>	<b>1,943,500</b>	
<b>Public safety</b>								
<b>Police</b>								
5431.0 Police LAW ENFORCEMENT	119,128	291,233	533,113	577,200	288,472	850,100	850,100	
5431.1 Police FIRE SERVICES	284,685	412,652	626,966	610,000	423,202	846,500	846,500	
5431.2 Police DISPATCH	9,989	9,569	19,849	25,000	7,014	32,000	32,000	
<b>Total Police</b>	<b>413,802</b>	<b>713,454</b>	<b>1,179,928</b>	<b>1,212,200</b>	<b>718,688</b>	<b>1,728,600</b>	<b>1,728,600</b>	
<b>Total Public safety</b>	<b>413,802</b>	<b>713,454</b>	<b>1,179,928</b>	<b>1,212,200</b>	<b>718,688</b>	<b>1,728,600</b>	<b>1,728,600</b>	

**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**10 General Fund - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

	<b>2016 Actual</b>	<b>2017 Actual</b>	<b>2018 Actual</b>	<b>2018 Budget</b>	<b>2019 Actual</b>	<b>Original Budget</b>	<b>Revised Budget</b>	<b>Worksheet Notes</b>
<b>Highways and public improvements</b>								
<b>Highways</b>								
6011.0 Public Works SALARIES AND WAGES	61,022	106,150	139,337	125,000	79,249	145,000	123,000	
6013.0 Public Works EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	3,893	15,328	31,751	40,000	16,965	43,000	37,000	
6023.0 Public Works TRAVEL	0	0	3,054	8,300	0	8,300	8,300	
6024.0 Public Works EDUCATION & TRAINING	0	0	2,534	10,000	2,255	10,000	10,000	
6025.0 Public Works EQUIPMENT-SUPPLIES & MAIN	15,361	11,991	48,653	119,400	87,552	136,900	136,900	
6031.0 Streets PROF & TECHNICAL SERVICES	32,380	124,039	64,505	103,500	40,754	134,500	134,500	
6032.0 Public Works REPAIRS & MAINTENANCE	134,373	31,969	25,683	40,000	20,219	70,000	70,000	
<b>Total Highways</b>	<b>247,029</b>	<b>289,477</b>	<b>315,517</b>	<b>446,200</b>	<b>246,994</b>	<b>547,700</b>	<b>519,700</b>	
<b>Sanitation</b>								
5235.0 Sanitation SERVICES	71,611	106,186	167,768	186,800	98,049	226,900	226,900	
<b>Total Sanitation</b>	<b>71,611</b>	<b>106,186</b>	<b>167,768</b>	<b>186,800</b>	<b>98,049</b>	<b>226,900</b>	<b>226,900</b>	
<b>Total Highways and public improvements</b>	<b>318,640</b>	<b>395,663</b>	<b>483,285</b>	<b>633,000</b>	<b>345,043</b>	<b>774,600</b>	<b>746,600</b>	
<b>Parks, recreation, and public property</b>								
<b>Recreation</b>								
7211 Parks SALARIES AND WAGES	0	0	20,659	40,700	36,986	82,600	67,900	
7213 Parks EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	0	0	1,173	7,200	2,566	13,300	11,200	
7248.0 Public Works DEPT SUPPLIES	1,229	35,743	8,938	15,000	6,305	30,000	30,000	
7260.0 Parks SUPPLIES	7,927	4,794	11,036	13,000	10,367	18,600	18,600	
7270.0 Parks MAINTENANCE	37,847	68,530	88,826	155,000	82,260	318,500	318,500	
7276.0 YOUTH COUNCIL	8,413	12,405	19,591	15,000	2,114	24,500	24,500	
<b>Total Recreation</b>	<b>55,416</b>	<b>121,472</b>	<b>150,223</b>	<b>245,900</b>	<b>140,598</b>	<b>487,500</b>	<b>470,700</b>	
<b>Total Parks, recreation, and public property</b>	<b>55,416</b>	<b>121,472</b>	<b>150,223</b>	<b>245,900</b>	<b>140,598</b>	<b>487,500</b>	<b>470,700</b>	
<b>Transfers</b>								
9505.0 TRANSFER TO CAPITAL PROJ FUND	800,000	3,261,600	256,211	1,198,760	0	599,300	830,600	
<b>Total Transfers</b>	<b>800,000</b>	<b>3,261,600</b>	<b>256,211</b>	<b>1,198,760</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>599,300</b>	<b>830,600</b>	
<b>Total Expenditures:</b>	<b>2,814,172</b>	<b>6,311,912</b>	<b>6,637,743</b>	<b>8,043,960</b>	<b>2,200,461</b>	<b>5,537,200</b>	<b>5,720,000</b>	
<b>Total Change In Net Position</b>	<b>551,586</b>	<b>(842,568)</b>	<b>446,989</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>(235,624)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	

**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**23 Impact Fees - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

	2016 Actual	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2018 Budget	2019 Actual	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Worksheet Notes
<b>Change In Net Position</b>								
<b>Revenue:</b>								
<b>Interest</b>								
3810.0 INTEREST EARNINGS - PUBLIC SAF	367	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3820.0 INTEREST EARNINGS - ROADWAY	4,716	18,500	38,363	6,000	29,841	6,000	6,000	
3840.0 INTEREST EARNINGS - STORM SYST	4	6	10	0	3	0	0	
<b>Total Interest</b>	<b>5,087</b>	<b>18,506</b>	<b>38,373</b>	<b>6,000</b>	<b>29,844</b>	<b>6,000</b>	<b>6,000</b>	
<b>Miscellaneous revenue</b>								
3120.0 ROADWAY FACILITIES	887,385	1,589,437	1,379,650	1,200,000	554,887	1,200,000	1,200,000	
3150.0 STORM & GROUND WATER FACILTIES	67,499	48,528	33,363	50,000	16,398	50,000	50,000	
3890 EXCESS BEG. FUND APPROPRIATION	0	0	0	540,000	0	1,794,000	1,794,000	
<b>Total Miscellaneous revenue</b>	<b>954,884</b>	<b>1,637,965</b>	<b>1,413,013</b>	<b>1,790,000</b>	<b>571,285</b>	<b>3,044,000</b>	<b>3,044,000</b>	
<b>Total Revenue:</b>	<b>959,971</b>	<b>1,656,471</b>	<b>1,451,386</b>	<b>1,796,000</b>	<b>601,129</b>	<b>3,050,000</b>	<b>3,050,000</b>	
<b>Expenditures:</b>								
<b>Miscellaneous</b>								
4061.0 ROADWAY FACILITIES	117,240	275,193	1,677,475	1,740,000	43,345	3,000,000	3,000,000	
4064.0 STORM & GROUND WATER FACILITIE	66,389	45,832	34,711	50,000	14,154	50,000	50,000	
<b>Total Miscellaneous</b>	<b>183,629</b>	<b>321,025</b>	<b>1,712,186</b>	<b>1,790,000</b>	<b>57,499</b>	<b>3,050,000</b>	<b>3,050,000</b>	
<b>Total Expenditures:</b>	<b>183,629</b>	<b>321,025</b>	<b>1,712,186</b>	<b>1,790,000</b>	<b>57,499</b>	<b>3,050,000</b>	<b>3,050,000</b>	
<b>Total Change In Net Position</b>	<b>776,342</b>	<b>1,335,446</b>	<b>(260,800)</b>	<b>6,000</b>	<b>543,630</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	

**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**25 Redvelopment Agency - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

	2016 Actual	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2018 Budget	2019 Actual	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Worksheet Notes
<b>Change In Net Position</b>								
<b>Revenue:</b>								
<b>Taxes</b>								
3110 PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT	3,758,914	5,703,340	6,786,593	6,786,593	0	8,097,000	8,097,000	
3112 PROPERTY TAX HOUSING	1,029,840	0	0	0	0	0	0	
3113 PROPERTY TAX ADMIN	360,444	364,043	357,189	357,189	0	337,400	337,400	
<b>Total Taxes</b>	<b>5,149,198</b>	<b>6,067,383</b>	<b>7,143,782</b>	<b>7,143,782</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8,434,400</b>	<b>8,434,400</b>	
<b>Interest</b>								
3660 INTEREST INCOME	162,581	335,306	954,156	500,000	591,841	500,000	500,000	
<b>Total Interest</b>	<b>162,581</b>	<b>335,306</b>	<b>954,156</b>	<b>500,000</b>	<b>591,841</b>	<b>500,000</b>	<b>500,000</b>	
<b>Miscellaneous revenue</b>								
3430 ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS	67,035	34,875	0	0	0	0	0	
3820 BOND PROCEEDS	16,157,372	15,576,000	32,220,614	30,000,000	0	0	0	
<b>Total Miscellaneous revenue</b>	<b>16,224,407</b>	<b>15,610,875</b>	<b>32,220,614</b>	<b>30,000,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Contributions and transfers</b>								
3815 DEVELOPER CONTRIBUTIONS	0	0	508,248	0	0	0	0	
3960 EXCESS BEG. FUND APPROPRIATION	0	0	0	0	0	20,500,300	20,500,300	
<b>Total Contributions and transfers</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>508,248</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>20,500,300</b>	<b>20,500,300</b>	
<b>Total Revenue:</b>	<b>21,536,186</b>	<b>22,013,564</b>	<b>40,826,800</b>	<b>37,643,782</b>	<b>591,841</b>	<b>29,434,700</b>	<b>29,434,700</b>	
<b>Expenditures:</b>								
<b>Miscellaneous</b>								
5500 RDA Salaries & Wages	80,615	173,687	171,179	185,100	94,260	185,100	185,100	
5510 Employee Benefits	19,105	30,789	37,805	53,100	20,919	53,100	53,100	
5520 PUBLIC NOTICES	1,523	0	0	2,000	0	2,000	2,000	
5531 PROF & TECH - GENERAL	74,729	60,981	72,256	57,600	33,726	27,600	27,600	
5532 PROF & TECH - PLANNER	3,746	1,272	0	0	0	50,000	50,000	
5533 PROF & TECH - ENGINEER	119,849	250,140	138,577	150,000	56,687	150,000	150,000	
5534 PROF & TECH - FIN PLAN	153,500	140,580	33,150	33,200	15,800	12,000	12,000	
5535 PROF & TECH - AUDITOR	2,400	2,400	4,000	4,000	0	4,000	4,000	
5537 ADMINISTRATIVE FEE	72,226	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5540 HOUSING FUND	0	128,800	255,728	280,000	129,435	140,000	140,000	
5542 TIFF PAYMENTS	27,208	700,313	1,024,712	1,665,000	0	2,880,200	2,880,200	
5561 MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSES	0	(229,156)	0	0	0	0	0	
5600 Bond issuance costs	0	0	106,650	115,000	0	0	0	
8010 DEBT PRINCIPAL PAYMENTS	688,000	20,469,000	3,429,580	3,371,000	0	2,951,000	2,951,000	
8020 DEBT INTEREST PAYMENT	597,636	1,046,417	1,258,540	1,516,900	559,432	1,579,700	1,579,700	
9070 CAPITAL PROJECTS	2,881,806	2,720,568	4,294,885	10,611,000	144,691	21,400,000	21,400,000	
<b>Total Miscellaneous</b>	<b>4,722,343</b>	<b>25,495,791</b>	<b>10,827,062</b>	<b>18,043,900</b>	<b>1,054,950</b>	<b>29,434,700</b>	<b>29,434,700</b>	
<b>Transfers</b>								
9680 Budgeted Increase in Fund Balance	0	0	0	19,599,882	0	0	0	
<b>Total Transfers</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19,599,882</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Total Expenditures:</b>	<b>4,722,343</b>	<b>25,495,791</b>	<b>10,827,062</b>	<b>37,643,782</b>	<b>1,054,950</b>	<b>29,434,700</b>	<b>29,434,700</b>	
<b>Total Change In Net Position</b>	<b>16,813,843</b>	<b>(3,482,227)</b>	<b>29,999,738</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>(463,109)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	

**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**45 Park Capital Projects - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

---

**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**49 Capital Projects - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

	2016 Actual	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2018 Budget	2019 Actual	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Worksheet Notes
<b>Change In Net Position</b>								
<b>Revenue:</b>								
<b>Contributions and transfers</b>								
3010.0 TRANSFER FROM GENERAL FUND	800,000	3,261,600	256,211	653,960	0	274,300	505,600	
3890 EXCESS BEG. FUND APPROPRIATION	0	0	0	3,359,010	0	2,409,686	2,081,886	
<b>Total Contributions and transfers</b>	<b>800,000</b>	<b>3,261,600</b>	<b>256,211</b>	<b>4,012,970</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,683,986</b>	<b>2,587,486</b>	
<b>Total Revenue:</b>	<b>800,000</b>	<b>3,261,600</b>	<b>256,211</b>	<b>4,012,970</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2,683,986</b>	<b>2,587,486</b>	
<b>Expenditures:</b>								
<b>Miscellaneous</b>								
4031.0 PROF & TECHINAL SERVICES	0	0	486	0	0	0	0	
4032.0 CONSTRUCTION	489,167	1,398,911	593,136	718,000	1,800,626	2,517,986	2,517,986	
<b>Total Miscellaneous</b>	<b>489,167</b>	<b>1,398,911</b>	<b>593,622</b>	<b>718,000</b>	<b>1,800,626</b>	<b>2,517,986</b>	<b>2,517,986</b>	
<b>Transfers</b>								
4094.0 TRANSFER TO GENERAL FUND	0	0	1,400,000	2,750,200	0	0	0	
4096.0 TRANSFER TO WATER FUND	0	0	0	190,100	0	166,000	69,500	
4097.0 TRANSFER TO SEWER FUND	91,851	0	15,911	95,370	0	0	0	
4098.0 TRANSFER TO STORM WATER FUND	0	0	0	19,000	0	0	0	
4099.0 TRANSFER TO TRANSPORATION FUND	0	0	240,300	240,300	0	0	0	
<b>Total Transfers</b>	<b>91,851</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,656,211</b>	<b>3,294,970</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>166,000</b>	<b>69,500</b>	
<b>Total Expenditures:</b>	<b>581,018</b>	<b>1,398,911</b>	<b>2,249,833</b>	<b>4,012,970</b>	<b>1,800,626</b>	<b>2,683,986</b>	<b>2,587,486</b>	
<b>Total Change In Net Position</b>	<b>218,982</b>	<b>1,862,689</b>	<b>(1,993,622)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,800,626</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	



**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**51 Water Fund - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

	2016 Actual	2017 Actual	2018 Actual	2018 Budget	2019 Actual	Original Budget	Revised Budget	Worksheet Notes
<b>Change In Net Position</b>								
<b>Revenue:</b>								
<b>Contributions and transfers</b>								
3960.0 EXCESS BEG. FUND APPROPRIATION	0	0	0	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000	
<b>Total Contributions and transfers</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	
<b>Total Revenue:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	
<b>Total Change In Net Position</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	
<b>Income or Expense</b>								
<b>Income From Operations:</b>								
<b>Operating income</b>								
3710.0 WATER FEES	390,882	687,095	979,727	849,700	682,951	1,000,300	1,201,500	
3720.0 CONNECTION FEES	115,952	268,958	203,377	161,000	78,481	171,000	171,000	
3730.0 RECONNECTION FEES	0	0	0	1,000	0	1,000	1,000	
<b>Total Operating income</b>	<b>506,834</b>	<b>956,053</b>	<b>1,183,104</b>	<b>1,011,700</b>	<b>761,432</b>	<b>1,172,300</b>	<b>1,373,500</b>	
<b>Operating expense</b>								
4011.0 SALARIES AND WAGES	52,291	87,820	172,029	205,600	109,153	238,700	255,200	
4013.0 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	2,265	14,361	30,428	60,300	21,517	73,300	77,800	
4021.0 BOOKS/SUBSCRIPTIONS/MEMBERSHPS	705	975	680	1,000	0	1,000	1,000	
4023.0 TRAVEL	0	0	1,500	2,700	1,500	2,700	2,700	
4025.0 EQUIPMENT-SUPPLIES & MAINT	93,596	157,577	190,273	173,000	62,502	219,100	219,100	
4027.0 UTILITIES	857	6,255	13,069	8,700	13,237	8,700	8,700	
4031.0 PROF & TECHNICAL SERVICES	5,748	16,068	9,187	5,000	3,204	8,900	8,900	
4031.2 CUWD PROJECT WATER ALLOT FEE	20,148	5,323	5,323	30,000	0	30,000	30,000	
4031.3 OREM - FISCAL YEAR -WATER BILL	217,588	260,744	310,928	332,500	389,382	363,500	413,200	
4031.5 LINDON - WATER BILL	9,241	7,239	22,535	30,000	4,809	30,000	30,000	
4031.6 CUWCD - WATER BILL	37,560	274,054	365,949	357,000	108,901	357,000	357,000	
4035.0 EQUIPMENT LEASE	0	0	0	0	7,661	10,000	10,000	
4067.0 DEPRECIATION	68,530	68,530	68,530	68,530	0	0	0	
<b>Total Operating expense</b>	<b>508,529</b>	<b>898,946</b>	<b>1,190,431</b>	<b>1,274,330</b>	<b>721,866</b>	<b>1,342,900</b>	<b>1,413,600</b>	
<b>Total Income From Operations:</b>	<b>(1,695)</b>	<b>57,107</b>	<b>(7,327)</b>	<b>(262,630)</b>	<b>39,566</b>	<b>(170,600)</b>	<b>(40,100)</b>	
<b>Non-Operating Items:</b>								
<b>Non-operating income</b>								
3760.0 IMPACT FEE-CULINARY & IRRIGATIO	290,245	661,740	422,904	0	166,525	174,600	174,600	
3770 ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS	0	170	250	0	0	0	0	
3810.0 INTEREST EARNINGS	4,298	6,975	4,695	4,000	3,465	4,000	4,000	
3910 Transfer from general fund	0	0	0	190,100	0	166,000	36,100	
<b>Total Non-operating income</b>	<b>294,543</b>	<b>668,885</b>	<b>427,849</b>	<b>194,100</b>	<b>169,990</b>	<b>344,600</b>	<b>214,700</b>	
<b>Non-operating expense</b>								
4066.0 IMPACT FEE-CULINARY & IRRIGATI	0	0	0	0	0	1,500,000	1,500,000	
<b>Total Non-operating expense</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	<b>1,500,000</b>	
<b>Total Non-Operating Items:</b>	<b>294,543</b>	<b>668,885</b>	<b>427,849</b>	<b>194,100</b>	<b>169,990</b>	<b>(1,155,400)</b>	<b>(1,285,300)</b>	
<b>Total Income or Expense</b>	<b>292,848</b>	<b>725,992</b>	<b>420,522</b>	<b>(68,530)</b>	<b>209,556</b>	<b>(1,326,000)</b>	<b>(1,325,400)</b>	

**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**52 Sewer Fund - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

	<b>2016 Actual</b>	<b>2017 Actual</b>	<b>2018 Actual</b>	<b>2018 Budget</b>	<b>2019 Actual</b>	<b>Original Budget</b>	<b>Revised Budget</b>	<b>Worksheet Notes</b>
<b>Income or Expense</b>								
<b>Income From Operations:</b>								
<b>Operating income</b>								
3710.0 SEWER FEES	173,175	393,652	559,191	507,030	326,458	635,700	795,000	
<b>Total Operating income</b>	<b>173,175</b>	<b>393,652</b>	<b>559,191</b>	<b>507,030</b>	<b>326,458</b>	<b>635,700</b>	<b>795,000</b>	
<b>Operating expense</b>								
4011.0 SALARIES AND WAGES	38,422	69,821	96,474	111,500	58,163	130,200	161,300	
4013.0 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	2,265	11,218	17,759	26,000	12,190	30,500	37,600	
4023.0 TRAVEL	0	0	0	900	61	900	900	
4025.0 EQUIPMENT-SUPPLIES & MAINT	19,215	12,210	64,924	101,500	44,036	62,500	92,500	
4027.0 UTILITIES	10,287	7,045	19,928	20,000	8,723	25,000	25,000	
4031.1 LINDON - SEWER BILL	2,685	1,231	3,304	5,000	1,643	5,000	5,000	
4031.2 OREM - SEWER BILL	33,185	44,118	32,858	37,500	24,161	42,500	42,500	
4031.3 TSSD- SEWER BILL	123,869	192,880	285,069	300,000	146,480	300,000	300,000	
4067.0 DEPRECIATION	262,772	262,774	262,774	262,800	0	262,800	262,800	
<b>Total Operating expense</b>	<b>492,700</b>	<b>601,297</b>	<b>783,090</b>	<b>865,200</b>	<b>295,457</b>	<b>859,400</b>	<b>927,600</b>	
<b>Total Income From Operations:</b>	<b>(319,525)</b>	<b>(207,645)</b>	<b>(223,899)</b>	<b>(358,170)</b>	<b>31,001</b>	<b>(223,700)</b>	<b>(132,600)</b>	
<b>Non-Operating Items:</b>								
<b>Non-operating income</b>								
3760.0 IMPACT FEE-SEWER	580,383	588,191	529,206	478,200	212,068	478,200	478,200	
3769.0 TSSD IMPACT FEE	0	2,784	1,879	0	0	0	0	
3910 Transfer from general fund	91,851	0	15,911	95,370	0	0	0	
<b>Total Non-operating income</b>	<b>672,234</b>	<b>590,975</b>	<b>546,996</b>	<b>573,570</b>	<b>212,068</b>	<b>478,200</b>	<b>478,200</b>	
<b>Total Non-Operating Items:</b>	<b>672,234</b>	<b>590,975</b>	<b>546,996</b>	<b>573,570</b>	<b>212,068</b>	<b>478,200</b>	<b>478,200</b>	
<b>Total Income or Expense</b>	<b>352,709</b>	<b>383,330</b>	<b>323,097</b>	<b>215,400</b>	<b>243,069</b>	<b>254,500</b>	<b>345,600</b>	

**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**53 Storm Water Fund - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

	<b>2016 Actual</b>	<b>2017 Actual</b>	<b>2018 Actual</b>	<b>2018 Budget</b>	<b>2019 Actual</b>	<b>Original Budget</b>	<b>Revised Budget</b>	<b>Worksheet Notes</b>
<b>Income or Expense</b>								
<b>Income From Operations:</b>								
<b>Operating income</b>								
3710 STORM WATER FEES	57,038	69,598	95,725	85,400	49,703	135,600	130,900	
3760 IMPACT FEE-STORM WATER	0	2,688	337	0	0	0	0	
<b>Total Operating income</b>	<b>57,038</b>	<b>72,286</b>	<b>96,062</b>	<b>85,400</b>	<b>49,703</b>	<b>135,600</b>	<b>130,900</b>	
<b>Operating expense</b>								
4011 SALARIES AND WAGES	40,455	49,724	66,250	66,800	39,160	66,800	91,800	
4013 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	5,230	9,181	13,432	22,600	7,831	22,600	29,900	
4021 BOOKS/SUBSCRIPTIONS/MEMBERSHPS	0	537	430	0	430	0	0	
4023 TRAVEL	0	0	0	0	0	2,000	2,000	
4025 EQUIPMENT-SUPPLIES & MAINT	0	0	3,441	15,000	22,979	40,500	40,500	
4031 PROF & TECHNICAL SERVICES	500	550	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>Total Operating expense</b>	<b>46,185</b>	<b>59,992</b>	<b>83,553</b>	<b>104,400</b>	<b>70,400</b>	<b>131,900</b>	<b>164,200</b>	
<b>Total Income From Operations:</b>	<b>10,853</b>	<b>12,294</b>	<b>12,509</b>	<b>(19,000)</b>	<b>(20,697)</b>	<b>3,700</b>	<b>(33,300)</b>	
<b>Non-Operating Items:</b>								
<b>Non-operating income</b>								
3910 Transfer from general fund	0	0	0	19,000	0	0	33,300	
<b>Total Non-operating income</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33,300</b>	
<b>Total Non-Operating Items:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>19,000</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33,300</b>	
<b>Total Income or Expense</b>	<b>10,853</b>	<b>12,294</b>	<b>12,509</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>(20,697)</b>	<b>3,700</b>	<b>0</b>	

**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**54 Transportation Utility Fund - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

	<u>2016</u> Actual	<u>2017</u> Actual	<u>2018</u> Actual	<u>2018</u> Budget	<u>2019</u> Actual	<u>Original</u> Budget	<u>Revised</u> Budget	<u>Worksheet</u> Notes
<b>Income or Expense</b>								
<b>Income From Operations:</b>								
<b>Operating income</b>								
3710 TRANSPORTATION UTILITY FEES	23,069	34,034	56,389	36,900	36,259	36,900	78,600	
<b>Total Operating income</b>	<b>23,069</b>	<b>34,034</b>	<b>56,389</b>	<b>36,900</b>	<b>36,259</b>	<b>36,900</b>	<b>78,600</b>	
<b>Operating expense</b>								
4011 SALARIES AND WAGES	4,268	6,807	5,466	5,400	2,964	5,400	26,700	
4013 EMPLOYEE BENEFITS	471	1,209	1,275	1,800	698	1,800	8,500	
4031 PROF & TECHNICAL SERVICES	0	0	144,385	270,000	127,069	345,000	345,000	
4066 IMPACT FEE-TRANSPORTATION	0	527	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>Total Operating expense</b>	<b>4,739</b>	<b>8,543</b>	<b>151,126</b>	<b>277,200</b>	<b>130,731</b>	<b>352,200</b>	<b>380,200</b>	
<b>Total Income From Operations:</b>	<b>18,330</b>	<b>25,491</b>	<b>(94,737)</b>	<b>(240,300)</b>	<b>(94,472)</b>	<b>(315,300)</b>	<b>(301,600)</b>	
<b>Non-Operating Items:</b>								
<b>Non-operating income</b>								
3910 Transfer from general fund	0	0	240,300	240,300	0	325,000	325,000	
<b>Total Non-operating income</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>240,300</b>	<b>240,300</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>325,000</b>	<b>325,000</b>	
<b>Total Non-Operating Items:</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>240,300</b>	<b>240,300</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>325,000</b>	<b>325,000</b>	
<b>Total Income or Expense</b>	<b>18,330</b>	<b>25,491</b>	<b>145,563</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>(94,472)</b>	<b>9,700</b>	<b>23,400</b>	

**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**91 General Fixed Assets - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

	<b>2016 Actual</b>	<b>2017 Actual</b>	<b>2018 Actual</b>	<b>2018 Budget</b>	<b>2019 Actual</b>	<b>Original Budget</b>	<b>Revised Budget</b>	<b>Worksheet Notes</b>
<b>Change In Net Position</b>								
<b>Expenditures:</b>								
<b>Miscellaneous</b>								
4100 Depn exp general government	17,829	23,024	0	0	0	0	0	
4400 Depn exp highway and public works	317,042	755,112	0	0	0	0	0	
4500 Depn exp parks and recreation	3,805	3,805	0	0	0	0	0	
<b>Total Miscellaneous</b>	<b>338,676</b>	<b>781,941</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Total Expenditures:</b>	<b>338,676</b>	<b>781,941</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Total Change In Net Position</b>	<b>338,676</b>	<b>781,941</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	

**Vineyard City**  
**Budgeting Worksheet**  
**95 Governmental Long-term Liabilities - 07/01/2018 to 01/02/2019**  
**58.33% of the fiscal year has expired**

	<u>2016</u> Actual	<u>2017</u> Actual	<u>2018</u> Actual	<u>2018</u> Budget	<u>2019</u> Actual	<u>Original</u> Budget	<u>Revised</u> Budget	<u>Worksheet</u> Notes
<b>Change In Net Position</b>								
<b>Expenditures:</b>								
<b>Miscellaneous</b>								
4101 Pension expense	(18,393)	10,069	43,087	0	0	0	0	
<b>Total Miscellaneous</b>	<b>(18,393)</b>	<b>10,069</b>	<b>43,087</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Total Expenditures:</b>	<b>(18,393)</b>	<b>10,069</b>	<b>43,087</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	
<b>Total Change In Net Position</b>	<b>(18,393)</b>	<b>10,069</b>	<b>43,087</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	



## VINEYARD CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

**Meeting Date:** 01-09-2019

**Agenda Item:** 8.2 Amendment to Consolidated Fee Schedule

**Department:** Finance

**Presenter:** Jacob McHargue

---

**Background/Discussion:** We recently completed a review of our costs to perform subdivision inspections including the requirements for SWWP inspections that are required in each subdivision. We found that the 2% inspection fee amount that we have been charging is insufficient to cover the costs of the inspections when we include the SWWP inspections. We are proposing that this be changed to 3% in order to cover the internal costs of providing those services.

**Fiscal Impact:** This will increase the amount collected in the general fund for inspection fee revenue to help offset the costs of providing those services.

**Recommendation:** We recommend that the council approve the change and adopt with the amendment to the consolidated fee schedule.

**Sample Motion:** I make a motion that we adopt the change to the consolidated fee schedule as it has been presented by staff.

**Attachments:** Consolidated Fee Schedule with proposed change.

**RESOLUTION NO. 2019-02**

**A RESOLUTION AMENDING THE CONSOLIDATED FEE SCHEDULE**

**WHEREAS**, Section 10-3-717 UCA authorizes cities to establish the amounts of fees to be charged for municipal services to be set by resolution, and

**WHEREAS**, The City Ordinances, in various locations, provides for the establishment of fee amounts for certain municipal services, by resolution of the City Council.

**WHEREAS**, a Public Hearing was duly noticed and was held on the 9<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2019 on the proposed amendment.

**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF VINEYARD, UTAH as follows:**

See exhibit A

**PASSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF VINEYARD, UTAH THIS 9th DAY OF January, 2019.**

**APPROVED:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Julie Fullmer, Mayor**

**ATTEST:**

\_\_\_\_\_  
**Pamela Spencer, City Recorder**





## Consolidated Fee Schedule 2018-2019 Fiscal Year

---

Administrative .....	2
Recreation.....	2
Utilities .....	2
Sanitation .....	3
Facilities Rental .....	3
Special Event Permit.....	4
Business Licensing .....	4
Land Use Applications .....	5
Building Permit Fees .....	7
Building Inspection Fees .....	8
Impact Fees .....	8
Pass Through Fees .....	9
Water Department Fees .....	9
Appendix A – Impact Fee Area Maps .....	11




---

**ADMINISTRATIVE FEES**

---

Records (GRAMA) Requests	per hour for staff time after first 15 minutes (based on lowest paid employee working on the request) Copies \$0.10 per page
Returned Checks	\$10.00
Credit Card Convenience Fee	3% of transaction
Colored Map Copies – 8 1/2 x 11	\$3.00
Black and White Map Copies	Free
Notarization	Free
Library Card/Fitness Center Reimbursement	\$80 annual reimbursement per Vineyard household (can be used toward non-resident library card OR municipal fitness center membership)
Weed Abatement	Actual Abatement Costs
Administrative Citation	\$100
Candidate Filing Fee	\$35

---

**RECREATION FEES**

---

Youth Soccer Registration	\$40
Race Registration	\$25
Late Race Registration	\$35

---

**UTILITY FEES**

---

Water Base Rate 3/4" Meter	\$27.09 first 5,000 gallons
Water Base Rate 1" Meter	\$37.93 first 5,000 gallons
Water Base Rate 1 1/2" Meter	\$48.76 first 5,000 gallons
Water Base Rate 2" Meter	\$78.56 first 5,000 gallons
Water Base Rate 3" Meter	\$297.99 first 5,000 gallons



Water Base Rate 4" Meter	\$386.48 first 5,000 gallons
Water Base Rate 6" Meter	\$568.89 first 5,000 gallons
Water Base Rate 8" Meter	\$758.52 first 5,000 gallons
Residential Water Usage Rates: Tier 1 (5,001 -29,999) Tier 2 (30,000+)	\$1.50 per 1,000 gallons \$3.00 per 1,000 gallons
Commercial Water Usage Rates: Tier 1 (5,001 – 29,999) Tier 2 (30,000 – 99,999) Tier 3 (100,000+)	\$1.50 per 1,000 gallons \$1.75 per 1,000 gallons \$2.50 per 1,000 gallons
Sewer Base Rate	\$17.25
Sewer Usage Rate	\$3.00 per 1,000 gallons
Transportation Utility	\$3.5 Per ERU
Storm Water Utility	\$4 Per ERU

---

**SANITATION FEES**

---

90 Gallon Residential Can	\$13
Second 90 gallon Residential Can	\$8
Recycling Can	\$6

---

**FACILITIES RENTAL FEES**

---

Small Park Pavilion Rental	\$40 for Resident, \$80 for Non-Resident
Small Park Pavilion Cleaning Deposit	\$40 for Resident, \$80 for Non-Resident
Large Park Pavilion Rental	\$75 for Resident, \$150 for Non-Resident
Large Park Pavilion Cleaning Deposit	\$75 for Resident, \$150 for Non-Resident
Field Rentals – Per Field	Minimum charge of \$25/hour
Town Hall Rental – Resident	Unavailable at this time
Town Hall – Non-Resident	Unavailable at this time
Town Hall Cleaning Deposit – Resident	Unavailable at this time



Town Hall Cleaning Deposit – Non-Resident	Unavailable at this time
Town Hall – Wedding/Reception – Resident (currently unavailable)	Unavailable at this time
Town Hall – Wedding/Reception – Non-resident (currently unavailable)	Unavailable at this time

---

**SPECIAL EVENT FEES**

---

Special Event Permit	\$50
Special Event with Vendors	\$75
Special Events with >250 participants	\$100

---

**ANNUAL BUSINESS LICENSING FEES**

---

Home-Based Occupation (exceeds residential impact)	\$25
Home-Based Occupation (does not exceed residential impact)	\$0
Industrial Manufacturing/Distribution	\$250
Restaurant/Food	\$190
Food Truck Fee	\$25 per truck
Retail	\$215
Service Related	\$75
Solicitor License	\$30
Transient/Itinerant Merchant (90-day maximum)	\$30
Towing/Parking Enforcement Certificate	\$30
Beer License	\$400 + Bond
A, B, or C Liquor License	\$300 + Bond
Duplicate Paper Copy of License	\$10 (Electronic copy - \$0)



Unclassified Business	\$25 Base fee until classification established by Resolution
Business fitting in 2+ Categories	Higher rate
Late Fee	50% of license fee, or \$25, whichever is greater, if not paid by January 31 <sup>st</sup> .
Penalty Fee for doing business without a Vineyard Business License	50% of license fee, or \$25, whichever is greater

---

**LAND USE APPLICATION FEES**

---

Development Agreement	\$1,500
Development Agreement Amendment	\$1,500
Subdivision – Preliminary Plat	\$1,930 + \$6.20 per lot
Subdivision – Preliminary Plat – Additional Review	\$786 + \$2.50 per lot
Subdivision – Final Plat	\$1,940 + \$6.20 per lot
Subdivision – Final Plat Additional Reviews	\$1010 + \$2.50 per lot
Condominium Plat – New or Conversion	\$1,406 + \$25 per unit
Major Plat Amendment	\$1,706
Minor Plat Amendment	\$1,406
Recording Fees	As charged by Utah County Recorder
Site Plan – Residential	\$2,663
Site Plan – Non-residential	\$3,756
Site Plan – Non-residential – Additional Reviews	\$1,693 for each additional review after two reviews
Site Plan – Minor Amendment	\$500
General Plan Text or Map Amendment	\$1,000
Land Use Ordinance Text or Map Amendment	\$1,000
Conditional Use Permit	\$400
Temporary Use Permit	\$75



Variance	\$100
Appeals	\$100
Zoning Verification	\$100
Accessory Dwelling Unit Application	\$100
Sign Permit	\$150
Sign Standard Waiver	\$250
Commercial Temporary Sign Permit	\$25
Street and Traffic Control Signs	\$350 per post
Land Disturbance Permit	\$50 + \$20 per acre + \$30 per month
Land Disturbance Permit – Subdivision and Site Plan	Included in <del>Subdivision or Site Plan review costs</del> engineering inspection fees
Engineering Inspection Fees – Subdivision Related	<del>2%</del> 3% of bid tabulation placed in escrow before construction begins. Un-used inspection fees shall be returned when the bond is released.
Engineering Inspection Fees – Non-Subdivision Related	\$150 per hour, rounded up to nearest hour
Engineering Re-Inspection Fees	\$150 per hour, 2 hour minimum
Demolition	Up to \$500 plan review fee
Right-of-Way/Road Cut Permit	\$150 + \$1 per square foot
Fine for Use of Public Right of Way without Approved Permit	\$300 + \$150 per hour inspector is onsite past initial hour
Infrastructure Construction	Bond/Escrow account as determined by bid tabulation
Building Relocation	\$500 Plan Review Fee
Full or Partial Road Closure	\$50
Use of City Barricades for Road Closure	\$300 refundable deposit per set of barricades
Additional plan review required by changes, additions, or revisions to any land use applications	\$65 per hour, half hour minimum




---

**BUILDING PERMIT FEES**

---

TOTAL VALUATION	FEE
\$1 to \$1,300	\$48
\$1,301 to \$2,000	\$48 for the first \$1,300; plus \$3 for each additional \$ 100 or fraction thereof, to and including \$2,000
\$2,001 to \$40,000	\$69 for the first \$2,000; plus \$11 for each additional \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$40,000
\$40,001 to \$100,000	\$487 for the first \$40,000; plus \$9 for each additional \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$100,000
\$100,001 to \$500,000	\$1,027 for the first \$100,000; plus \$7 for each additional \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$500,000
\$500,001 to \$1,000,000	\$3,827 for the first \$500,000; plus \$5 for each additional \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$1,000,000
\$1,000,001 to \$5,000,000	\$6,327 for the first \$1,000,000; plus \$3 for each additional \$1,000 or fraction thereof, to and including \$5,000,000



\$5,000,001 and over	\$18,327 for the first \$ 5,000,000; plus \$1 for each additional \$1,000 or fraction thereof
Residential Plan Review	25% of Building Permit Fee
Commercial Plan Review	35% of Building Permit Fee
Duplicate Plan Review	15% of Building Permit Fee
Reinstating an Expired Permit	\$50 + any additional review time

---

**BUILDING INSPECTION FEES**

---

Inspections outside of normal business hours	\$48 per hour, two hour minimum
Re-inspection	\$48 per hour
Inspection for which no specific fee is indicated	\$48 per hour, one hour minimum
Additional plan review required by changes, additions, or revisions to plans,	\$85 per hour, one hour minimum
Use of outside consultants for plan checking and inspections, or both	Actual costs, including administrative and overhead costs
Fire Inspection	Included in Business License Fee
Work Without a Permit*	\$100 per infraction
Working Beyond a Stop Work Order*	\$200 per infraction

\*Fines doubled for each subsequent infraction

---

**IMPACT FEES (See Impact Fee Area Maps)**

---

Sewer Facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Area A - \$539</li> <li>• Area B - \$2,391</li> <li>• Area C – RDA</li> </ul>
------------------	--





Culinary and Irrigation Water Systems	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Area A - \$873</li> <li>• Area B (RDA) - \$521</li> </ul>
Roadway Facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Area A - \$3,586</li> <li>• Area B (RDA) - \$1,286</li> </ul>
Storm and Ground Water	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Area A - \$222</li> <li>• Area B - \$337</li> <li>• Area C - \$237</li> </ul>

---

**PASS THROUGH FEES**

---

Timpanogos Special Service District	\$1708 Per ERU
Orem Water Reclamation	Equal to Orem City's Water Reclamation as dictated in their up-to-date Consolidated Fee Schedule.
Orem Water Rights	Equal to Orem City's Water Rights as dictated in their up-to-date Consolidated Fee Schedule.

All impact fees will be assessed at the time building permits are issued. All other development Impact Fees will be calculated based on Equivalent Residential Units.

---

**WATER DEPARTMENT FEES**

---

¾" Water Meter & Connection Fee	\$363.00
1" Water Meter & Connection Fee	\$495
1½" Water Meter & Connection Fee	\$775
2" Water Meter & Connection Fee	\$1,206
Water Lateral Inspection Fee	\$40
Water Meter Reconnect Fee	\$50
Utility Application Fee	\$20
Fire Hydrant Meter Rental Deposit	\$1,100
Daily Rate – Fire Hydrant Meter	\$10/100 month
Water Rate – Fire Hydrant Meter	\$2 Per 1,000 gallons of water



Residential Construction Water	\$50 minimum
Non-Residential Construction Water	\$50 minimum
Illegal Connection to Water System	\$1,000 per occurrence

## **APPENDIX A**



# IMPACT FEE AREA MAPS